

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/666,676 | WEST, EVANS ARTHUR | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Daniel P. Stephenson | 3672 | |

All Participants:

Status of Application: abandoned

(1) Daniel P. Stephenson.

(3) _____.

(2) Glen F. Gallinger.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 September 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was related to the applicant that it had been 6 months since the mailing of the last office action and an inquiry was made as to whether a reply had been submitted. The applicant stated that there was no reply submitted nor was any reply forthcoming. The examiner then related that the case would be abandoned since no reply was received.